

Manufacturers Struggling with Unexpected Steel Price Surcharges

Many Thought they Had Firm Prices, but Not So; \$820 a Ton Surcharge from AK Steel; Will Manufacturers Find Substitute Materials?

SCDigest Editorial Staff

The rising costs of iron ore and energy are causing deep tensions between steel makers and manufacturers in dozens of industries, as rising surcharges are being tacked on to each ton of steel, in some cases to manufacturers unaware that their price wasn't locked in by their contracts.

The impact is bad everywhere, but especially so in the auto industry, already suffering from a variety of other woes and deep losses. Spiraling metal costs could be a killer blow.

Base versus Contract Price

Many contracts for steel are written committing the seller to a given "base" price, but with latitude for them to add certain adjustments or surcharges. Rarely in the past have buyers paid above the base price, but now virtually all steel producers are layering on a variety of surcharges, to the surprise of some companies that thought their pricing was contractually guaranteed.

Major surcharges have been announced by Arcelor-Mittal, US Steel, WCI Steel, and AK Steel, among others. AK Steel, for example, has recently advised customers that an \$820-per-ton surcharge will be added to invoices for electrical steel products shipped in July to cover prices for raw materials and energy. In January, that surcharge was only \$265 per ton.

The input cost rises are of course very real. Major iron ore producers such as BHP Bilton and Rio Tinto said last week that their sell prices are about 85% above last years levels. Ouch!

But rising steel prices and surcharges are causing

While there is no question that these input prices have risen dramatically, it is equally certain that many steel producers will use the current turmoil to try to extract extra price increases above input cost inflation.

havoc for buyers, as the cost to produce many manufactured products is now soaring as well.

In some cases, buyers are pushing back. Some are looking at the possibility of using other materials in their products besides steel, such as aluminum, plastic, concrete or wood, depending on the application. While prices for those commodities have also risen, the inflation has not been nearly as high as it has been for steel.

For many manufacturers, however, such substitution is not an option. Even for those for which it is, there will generally be considerable time required to engineer, qualify and implement alternative materials.

Automakers are subject to both constraints – a requirement to use steel for many parts, and a long time required for switching even where they can. With their backs up against the financial wall, some are starting to push back.

The Wall Street Journal reported this week that "Some auto makers are threatening to fight the additional charges in court, saying that financial terms of a contract can't be altered."

Manufacturers Struggling with Unexpected Steel Price Surcharges (Con't)

There are some customers who have accepted the surcharges and some who have not," says **Aditya Mittal**, chief financial officer at Arcelor-Mittal. "For those who have not, we are still in negotiations."

Steel producers dispute the contentions of some auto OEMs and other manufacturers that they do not have the right to add the surcharges under the terms agreed upon within the existing contracts.

As always, supply and demand is a key underlying force. Overall global demand for steel has kept capacity tight, giving steel makers more confidence in making price increases stick. The increasing consolidation in the industry also means fewer companies have control of the supply side of the steel equation.

What to Do in New Contracts?

Many manufacturers are entering the usual contract negotiating period for steel.

One response to the current situation might be to insist on locking in a final price in new contracts, rather than a base price, as is found in most contracts today.

But while that strategy may seem appealing in today's environment, it could also backfire. If energy prices pull back, as many expect, or the iron ore bubble pops, buyers might wish they were back to a base price model, as surcharges



would drop dramatically, offering a total price that might be well below contracted final prices.

One thing for sure is to keep very informed about true cost drivers for steel producers, such as iron ore prices and energy costs. While there is no question that these input prices have risen dramatically, it is equally certain that many steel producers will use the current turmoil to try to extract extra price increases above input cost inflation.

But most experts for now expect the price of steel to continue to head north. **Steel industry consultant Michael Locker**, for example, says he believes prices for hot-rolled coil will go to about \$1,500 per ton from the current level of between \$1,100 to \$1,200.